

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/777,107 02/05/2001 Thomas F. Collura 73546/06356 3942 **EXAMINER** 04/05/2005 JAMES C. SCOTT, ESQ FOREMAN, JONATHAN M **ROETZEL & ANDRESS** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1375 E. 9TH STREET 3736

ONE CLEVELAND CENTER, 10TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			フィー
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/777,107	COLLURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processive days the office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty sriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communical INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 22 December 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits	is is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 14-30 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formal a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Staper No(s)/Mail Date	·	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. 500 5(5)	-, <u> </u>	-	

Application/Control Number: 09/777,107

DETAILED ACTION

New grounds of rejection are contained within this Office Action. Accordingly this action has been made Non-Final.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 21, 22, 25 and 26 objected to because of the following informalities: each of the following limitation lacks antecedent basis in the claim: Claim 21, line 10 "the first remote input node"; Claim 21, lines 12 – 13 "the remote input means"; Claim 21, line 16 "the first-level input" and "the remote feedback output"; Claim 21, lines 18 – 19 "the primary input node" and "the first-level feedback output"; Claim 22, lines 2 – 3 "the local node" and "first-level input node"; Claim 25, line 6 "the second remote feedback node"; and Claim 26, line 2, "the first-level input node". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 21 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 21 as well as dependent claim 25 contain limitations related to both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus. Claims 21 and 25 and all claims dependent thereon are therefore indefinite. Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App & Inter. 1990).

Additionally, line 14 of claim 25 states "the remote feedback node"; line 2 of claim 26 states "the remote node"; and line 7 of claim 28 states "the remote feedback node". It is unclear if these

Application/Control Number: 09/777,107

Art Unit: 3736

limitations are referring to the first or second remote feedback node. Line 3 of claim 28 states, "the data node". It is unclear which data node is being referred to. Line 9 of claim 28 states, "the second remote node". It is unclear which of the second remote nodes is being referred to.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 21 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claims 21 – 30 are directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine", but rather embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only. MPEP 2173.05(p).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 14 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0021283 to Rosenberg et al.

In regards to claims 14 – 20, Rosenberg et al. discloses an input means (616) for receiving data from a user; an output means (614) for communicating feedback to a user; a primary local loop having an input connected to the input means and an output connected to the output means; a

secondary local loop having an input connected to the input of the primary local loop and an output connected to the output of the primary local loop; and a primary remote loop having an input connected to the input of the secondary local loop and an output connected to the secondary local loop output [0099]-[0101]; [0216]-[0218]. The input of the primary remote loop and the input of the secondary local loop are connected by the Internet; and the output of the primary remote loop and the output of the secondary local loop are connected by the Internet. A secondary remote loop has an input connected to the input of the primary remote loop and an output connected to the output of the primary remote loop [0099]-[0101]; [0216]-[0218]. Data is communicated at a remote output and input is received from the remote location [0217]. Rosenberg et al. discloses a local database and a remote database [0086].

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,394,904 to Stalker.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,107

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMLF

MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700